

1
2
3
4 STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA,
5 Plaintiffs,
6 v.
7 Defendants.

8
9
10 Case Nos. [20-cv-03347-PJH](#)
[20-cv-03348-PJH](#)
[20-cv-03350-PJH](#)
[20-cv-03352-PJH](#)
[20-cv-03353-PJH](#)
[20-cv-03355-PJH](#)
[20-cv-03398-PJH](#)
[20-cv-03402-PJH](#)
[20-cv-03403-PJH](#)
[20-cv-04231-PJH](#)
[20-cv-04233-PJH](#)
[20-cv-04234-PJH](#)

11
12
13
14
15 **ORDER DISMISSING MULTIPLE
16 CASES WITH PREJUDICE**

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner, has filed multiple pro se civil rights complaints under 42
18 U.S.C. § 1983. He also seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis* (IFP) in these cases.
19 Plaintiff is a condemned prisoner who also has a pending federal habeas petition in this
20 court with appointed counsel. See *Bonilla v. Ayers*, Case No. 08-0471 YGR. Plaintiff is
21 also represented by counsel in state court habeas proceedings. See *In re Bonilla*, Case
22 No. 20-2986 PJH, Docket No. 1 at 7

23 In these civil rights cases plaintiff names as defendants' various federal or state
24 judges. All of these actions are one of two similar complaints where plaintiff has changed
25 the name of the defendant. He seeks relief regarding his underlying conviction or how
26 his various pro se habeas petitions were handled by the state and federal courts.

27 Plaintiff has been disqualified from proceeding IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g)
28

1 unless he is “under imminent danger of serious physical injury” at the time he filed his
2 complaint. 28 U.S.C. 1915(g); *In re Steven Bonilla*, Case No. 11-3180 CW; *Bonilla v.*
3 *Dawson*, Case No. 13-0951 CW.

4 The allegations in these complaints do not show that plaintiff was in imminent
5 danger at the time of filing. Therefore, he may not proceed IFP. Moreover, even if an
6 IFP application were granted, his lawsuits would be barred under *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512
7 U.S. 477, 486-87 (1994), *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37, 43-54 (1971), *Demos v. U.S.*
8 *District Court*, 925 F.2d 1160, 1161-62 (9th Cir. 1991) or *Mullis v. U.S. Bankruptcy Court*,
9 828 F.2d 1385, 1393 (9th Cir. 1987). Accordingly, the cases are dismissed with
10 prejudice.

11 Furthermore, these are not cases in which the undersigned judge’s impartiality
12 might be reasonably questioned. See *United States v. Holland*, 519 F.3d 909, 912 (9th
13 Cir. 2008) (absent legitimate reasons to recuse himself or herself, a judge has a duty to
14 sit in judgment in all cases assigned to that judge).

15 The clerk shall terminate all pending motions and close these cases. The clerk
16 shall return, without filing, any further documents plaintiff submits in these closed cases.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Dated: July 6, 2020

19
20 */s/ Phyllis J. Hamilton*
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge